List of Attendees:

- Merrielle Macaraeg, Campus Climate Commission, ASUCI
- Connor Strobel, VP of Internal Affairs, Associated Graduate Students
- Carroll Seron, Professor, School of Social Ecology
- Jim Meeker, Professor Emeritus, School of Social Ecology
- Megan Morrison, Residence Life Coordinator
- Hobart Taylor, Co-Chair, Homeowner Representative Board (HRB), University Hills
- Joe Brothman, Director, EH&S, UCIMC
- Gwen Black, OEOD Senior Associate Director
- Paul Cooper, Assistant Chief of Police
- Adisa Ajamu, Director, UCI Center for Black Cultures, Resources, and Research
- Oscar Teran, Director, UCI Dreamers Resource Center
- Karen Andrews, Director, UCI Disability Resources Center
- Mary Clark, Chief of Staff, Division of Finance and Administration

Agenda:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Agenda Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Vice Chancellor’s Update</td>
<td>Ron Cortez, CFO and Vice Chancellor, Division of Finance and Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Key Takeaways: <em>A Proposal for Improving UCIPD-UCI Community Relations</em></td>
<td>Professor Carroll Seron, PSAC Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Update on the Presidential Task Force on University-wide Policing</td>
<td>Professor Emeritus Ed Meeker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Setting the agenda for the PSAC</td>
<td>Professor Carroll Seron, PSAC Chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meeting Notes:

1. **Vice Chancellor’s Update**
   - VC Cortez appointed as member of UCOP’s Presidential Task Force on Universitywide Policing, along with Prof. Jim Meeker and Chief of Police Jorge Cisneros.
   - *Alexander Bustamante* serves as the Chair of the Task Force. Bustamante is the Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance and Audit Officer, UCOP.
   - Goal of the Presidential Task Force is to review current practices and make recommendations on 1) how complaints are processed at UC Police Departments; 2) training and use of force; 3) strengthening community relations.
   - Focus is on creating a consistent and streamlined process for Police Departments throughout the UC system.
2. **Key Takeaways: A Proposal for Improving UCIPD-UCI Community Relations**

Chair Seron provided context for PSAC:

- Prior to committee, Seron and Meeker served on the ad hoc Academic Senate committee that formed as a result of an incident that occurred at University Hills in the fall of 2015.
- The ad hoc committee made five recommendations, three of which are: 1) a need to manage complaints about police misconduct; 2) community outreach events (town halls were suggested); 3) a survey to gauge campus climate with the goal of improving PD/community relations.
- Recommendations were sent to the Academic Senate.

Clark presented on changes that DFA has implemented to address the five recommendations:

- Revamped UCIPD’s website to emphasize public safety mission, focusing on UCIPD, Medical Center Security Services and Emergency Management.
- Incorporated UCI’s Public Safety quarterly magazine
- Will seek accreditation from third party
- Incorporated regular implicit bias training for members of UCIPD
- Reporting data on “officer initiated activity” on a monthly basis and included on Public Safety website
- Complaint form more visible and now available online. Users can select the on-line form or PDF version. Both allow for anonymous submissions.

3. **Update on the Presidential Task Force on University-wide Policing**

- Meeker will share the Presidential Task Force charge with the committee. (See attachment to minutes.)
- Meeker recommended the following to the Presidential Task Force:

  Final comment, all UC campus should have a Police Advisory Boards (PAB) with similar structure and abilities as well as a system wide PAB. Municipal police departments and chiefs are responsible to the mayor who is responsible the electorate who represent the policed community which gives them voice. The policed communities in the UC system should also have vice which is the function of the PAB.

Some issues to consider when establishing the PABs

1- Reporting, they should report to the same Vice Chancellor as the UC PD Chief does, and not to the Chief
2- Should be representative of the community that that campus PD polices including students, faculty and staff and others as appropriate to that campus. Faculty members should be recommended by the Senate in the spirit of shared governance.
3- Should be able to review and recommend changes to the complaint process.
4- Should be able to review complaint investigations preserving the identities of the witnesses, complainant, and officer. However the PAB needs to know the context such as the history of complaints by the complainant, history of the officer and prior complaints and disciplinary history (to determine if the discipline being applied is effective). If the PAB determines that the investigation was not sufficient or disagrees with the findings they shall notify both the Chief and the appropriate Vice Chancellor and if the Vice Chancellor disagrees with the PAB the Vice Chancellor shall give the PAB in writing why they are disagreement with PAB (see similar procedures for Privilege and Tenure when the Chancellor disagrees with a P&T hearing their findings)
5- PAB should have access to the statistics generated by the PD including the raw data and not just statistical summaries, if they request it.
6- PAB should have the ability to make recommendations concerning training, retraining, and training in additional areas not currently covered.
7- PAB should have town halls to allow for the policed community to give input.
8- The system wide PAB should insure that the quality of campus surveys (UCUES?) have questions concerning student interactions with campus PD that is standardized across all campuses.
4. Discussion: Charge of the PSAC and current issues with UCIPD

Chair Seron distributed copies of the draft “Charge to the Public Safety Advisory Committee” and asked for input.

- Committee discussed the methods to disseminate recommendations. Question about the role of Academic Senate related to PSAC recommendations as compared to recommendations sent to other campus entities in addition to the Academic Senate.
- Committee is interested in transparency and making recommendations available to the public.
- Committee agreed on including verbiage stating that the PSAC will provide recommendations, at the very least, through a yearly report for accountability and measuring efficacy.
- Chair Seron will integrate verbiage to the charge and present to the committee for review.

Chair Seron asked committee members about current issues on campus related to UCIPD

- Teran expressed the general distrust that undocumented students have with law enforcement. Teran shared that the Dream Center is slowly cultivating a relationship with Officer Green.
- Teran asked for clarification on what criteria determines if students who are detained are sent to Orange County’s Sheriff’s Department. Orange County is posting the names of undocumented students publicly to bypass California’s sanctuary state law.
- Assistant Chief Cooper explained that it is based on the charge. Substance-related issues are sent to Newport Police Department and non-substance related charges are sent to the Orange County Sheriff’s Department.
- Ajamu expressed that students may also inherit perceptions of law enforcement when they come to campus.
- Ajamu suggested inviting UCIPD officers to attend a meeting to learn about the challenges they encounter.
- Andrews is interested to learn more about Veterans on campus and their experiences with UCIPD.
- Committee is interested in learning about UCIPD’s current outreach programs.

5. Setting the agenda for the PSAC

Based on discussion in agenda topic #4, Chair Seron proposed the following agenda for the next PSAC meeting:

1. Review of current community complaint procedure
2. Assessment/review of community outreach efforts on campus, including data about their effectiveness
3. Listening session with UCI police officers: from officers’ standpoint, what is it like to police on the UCI campus?